

PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner:

Naresh Vig

Technology Center 210

Applicant:

Lalitha Vaidyanathan;

John Quinn; Ahmed

Khaishgi; Cara Cherry

Serial No.:

09/504,159

Group Art Unit:

2165

February 15, 2000

Docket No.:

1018-001US01

Title:

Filed:

ELECTRONIC DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEM

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Service, as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on

Name: Samantha J. Rupert

BOX AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

We are transmitting herewith the attached correspondence relating to this application:

Transmittal sheet containing Certificate of Mailing

Amendment (20 pgs.)

Return postcard

Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account No. 50-1778.

Date:

1/01/2 5,7002

By:

Name: Kent J. Sieffert

Reg. No.: 41,312

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105

St. Paul, Minnesota 55125 Telephone: 651.735.1100

Facsimile: 651.735.1102





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Serial No.:

4

Lalitha Vaidyanathan;

Examiner:

Naresh Vig

John Quinn; Ahmed

Khaishgi; Cara Cherry

09/504,159

Group Art Unit:

2165

Filed:

February 15, 2000

Docket No.:

1018-001US01

Title:

ELECTRONIC DISPUTE RESOLUTION SYSTEM

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Şervice, as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

JUL 1 6 2002

Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed April 25, 2002, the period of response for which runs through July 25, 2002, please amend the application as follows.

In the Claims

Please cancel claims 32-55, amend claims 1-7, 11-12, 14-15, and 27, and add new claims 56-74 as follows:

1. (Once Amended) A method for resolving an electronic commerce dispute involving one or more parties, comprising:

receiving from at least one party case information that describes the dispute;

applying a case-based reasoning system to the case information to produce a result for use in selection of a mode of resolving the dispute; and

presenting the result of the application of the case-based reasoning system to the case information to the one or more parties.

RECEIVED
JUL 1 7 2002
GROUP 3600